



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,441	03/30/2006	Christopher John Farrell	PI1096/20006	8867
3000 7590 11/28/2008 CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD. 11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-2212			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON, JAMES MARSHALL	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		3772
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
11/28/2008	ELECTRONIC			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@crbcp.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/560,441	<b>Applicant(s)</b> FARRELL, CHRISTOPHER JOHN
	<b>Examiner</b> James M. Robinson	<b>Art Unit</b> 3772

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 August 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6-30,40 and 42-50 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-6-30,40 and 42-50 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/19/2008, 8/6/2008

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to amendments/arguments filed 08/06/2008. Currently claims 1, 6-30, 40, and 42-50 are pending in this application. It is noted that applicant amended claims 1, 6-8, and 46.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/06/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's position that it is unclear which of the four components examiner is referring to as the base member, examiner respectfully asserts that Kittelsen et al. (US 6691710) actually teaches a "five component" oral appliance (please refer to fig. 5 and to col. 4, lines 50-67, and col. 6, lines 47-54). In order to further clarify examiner's stated office action position dated 2/6/2008 examiner respectfully asserts that the base disclosed by Kittelsen comprises at least four components that cooperatively function as a base, including the framework (86), the reverse bite plate fulcrum (106), the traction pads (114), and the encapsulating material (170) which form a teeth receiving channel (178). In response to applicants stated position that Kittelsen does not disclose a base member having compressible channels or spacings that absorb shock in combination with the base elements stated above examiner asserts that the "base member" comprises index openings (94) in the base element framework which is composed of a flexible material (col. 4, lines 52-56) that function to absorb impact shock. Although the index openings do also allow the knob projections (116) of the traction pads (114) to pass through aperture (110) in the bite

plate framework it is the examiners position that in use, when a user "bites down" on the oral appliance the flexible material of the openings (94) must inherently absorb shock transmitted from the teeth of the user to the openings (94) of the base.

***Information Disclosure Statement***

IDS dated 03/19/2008 includes a foreign patent document to Hoeschst (DE 4213219). This reference has not been considered because only a 1 page English abstract has been provided by applicant. Further, the examiner would like to note that the esp@cenet document provided states the title of the foreign reference as "alloys of cyclo-olefin polymers and polyolefins". All of the other US references cited in the IDS has been considered.

***Claim Objections***

2. Claims 1 and 6-8 are objected to because of the following informalities: Applicant has amended the claims to recite "compressible shock absorption channels or spacing". However claims 6-8 only recite "the compressible shock absorption channels" and fail to recite "spacings". The claims must be consistent and recite channels or spacings in order to be certain that applicant is not intending to limit claims only to the compressible shock absorption channels. Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. **Claims 1, 10, 13, 14, 17, 19-21, 25-29, 40, & 42-45** are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Kittelsen et al. (US 6,691,710)**.

**Regarding claim 1**, Kittelsen discloses a base member having a generally U-shaped form corresponding to the outline of a jaw of a user, the base member (framework (86)/reverse bite plate fulcrum (106)/ traction pads (114)/encapsulating material (170)) defining at least one channel (178) within which an upper or lower row of teeth of a user can be received; a teeth engaging element (270), associated with each channel, being made of a material (col. 6, lines 47-54) able to be user conformed or user moulded to suit the individual mouth of the user wherein the base member has a greater rigidity than the teeth engaging element; one or more compressible shock absorption channels or spacings defined in the base member to absorb impact shock.

**Regarding claim 10**, Kittelsen discloses the side open channels have a height in the range of 0.5-10mm and length lying in the range of 0.5-30mm (Col. 4, lines 44-51).

**Regarding claim 13**, Kittelsen discloses the teeth engaging element is made of a continuous layer of thermoplastic material that encapsulates the base member to firmly and securely mount the layer of thermoplastic material on the base member (170).

**Regarding claim 14**, Kittelsen discloses the continuous layer of thermoplastics material substantially covers the complete surface area of the base member (170).

**Regarding claim 17**, Kittelsen discloses the layer of thermoplastic material is EVA (ethylvinylacetate) which softens at a temperature of 90°C - 95°C (Col. 4, lines 64-67). The temperature at which EVA softens is an inherent property of the material.

**Regarding claim 19**, Kittelsen discloses the base member is formed from a rigid plastics material, which is not user conformable or mouldable in boiling water (Col. 4, lines 52-56).

**Regarding claim 20**, Kittelsen discloses the rigid plastics material comprises a non-thermoplastic material either alone or in combination with another plastics material (Col. 5, lines 1-5).

**Regarding claim 21**, Kittelsen discloses the non-thermoplastic material comprises polyethylene, polyurethane, polypropylene or santoprene (Col. 5, lines 1-5).

**Regarding claim 25**, Kittelsen discloses the non-thermoplastic material comprises polyethylene on its own (Col. 5, lines 20-22).

**Regarding claim 26**, Kittelsen discloses the base member has inner and outer flanges interconnected by a web which collectively define upper and lower channels within which the upper and lower rows of teeth of the user are receivable, wherein an upper teeth engaging element is receivable in the upper channel and a lower teeth engaging element is receivable in the lower channel (28, 72, 76, 272).

**Regarding claim 27**, Kittelsen discloses a tongue tag on the inner flange (6) of the base member, the tongue tag being substantially centrally positioned for correctly positioning the tongue of a user during use (280), and a cut-out defined in the outer flange of the base member for allowing the appliance to adapt to varying arch sizes (124), and breathing apertures defined in the base member for facilitating breathing by a user when wearing the appliance.

**Regarding claim 28**, Kittelsen discloses including locating means for correctly locating and positioning the jaws in the teeth engaging element during fitting of the oral appliance (Col. 4, lines 56-59).

**Regarding claim 29**, Kittelsen discloses the locating means comprise a brace arranged externally on the teeth engaging element (96).

**Regarding claim 40**, Kittelsen discloses a base member (76, 86) for an oral appliance for placing in a mouth of a user, having a generally U-shaped form corresponding to the outline of a jaw of a user, the base member defining at least one channel (278) within which an upper or lower row of teeth of a user can be received, the base member further comprising shock absorbing means (114) taking the form of pre-designated compressible sections in order to substantially absorb impact shock.

**Regarding claim 42**, Kittelsen discloses being at least semi- flexible and non-thermoplastic (86).

**Regarding claim 43**, Kittelsen discloses the element being made of a material able to be user conformed or user molded to suit the individual mouth of the user, provided with locating means for correctly locating and positioning the jaws in the teeth engaging element (170, 270).

**Regarding claim 44**, Kittelsen discloses a method of fitting an oral appliance, comprising the step of immersing the oral appliance in water having a temperature sufficiently high to make the teeth engaging element moldable; inserting the appliance into a user's mouth; biting into the teeth engaging element to mould the teeth engaging element to the form of the user's jaw, and thereafter allowing the teeth engaging element to harden (Col. 7, lines 11-39).

**Regarding claim 45**, Kittelsen discloses a method for protecting teeth from impact shock comprising the step of inserting an oral appliance into a user's mouth before partaking of any activity whereby use of a mouthguard is desirable (Col. 7, lines 11-39).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. **Claims 6-8, 15, 16, & 30** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kittelsen et al. (US 6,691,710)** in view of **Kittelsen et al. (US 5,152,301)**.

**Regarding claims 6-8**, Kittelsen ('710) substantially discloses the invention as claimed; see rejection to claim 1 above. However, Kittelsen ('710) fails to disclose the compressible shock absorption channels comprises open air channels defined in the base member; the air channels extend from an outer face of the base member, through the body thereof to an inner face of the base member; the shock absorption means take the form of side open channels arranged in or near terminal ends of the generally U shaped form of the base member.

However, Kittelsen ('301) teaches a protective mouthguard for use by athletes in which the shock absorption means comprises one or more open air channels defined in the base member (102, 104; Col. 4, lines 52-56); the air channels extend from an outer face of the base member, through the body thereof to an inner face of the base member (102, 104; Col. 4, lines 52-56); the shock absorption means take the form of side open channels arranged in or near terminal ends of the generally U shaped form of the base member (102, 104; Col. 4, lines 52-56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the base member of the oral appliance of Kittelsen ('710) with the shock absorption means comprises one or more open air channels defined in the base member; the air channels extend from an outer face of the base member, through the body thereof to an inner face of the base member; the shock absorption means take the form of side open

channels arranged in or near terminal ends of the generally U shaped form of the base member taught by Kittelsen ('301). Since all of the component parts are known in Kittelsen ('710) and Kittelsen ('301). Combining the known prior art elements according to known methods yielded the predictable result creating a shock absorption means in the terminal ends of the mouthpiece.

**Regarding claims 15 & 16,** Kittelsen ('710) discloses the layer of thermoplastic material (170), but fails to disclose thermoplastic material defines one or more openings which correspond with at least one or more of the open channels arranged in the base member; the layer of thermoplastic material extends across and covers the one or more openings which correspond with the at least one or more channels arranged in the base member and closes off the interior space defined by the channels.

However, Kittelsen ('301) teaches thermoplastic material defines one or more openings which correspond with at least one or more of the open channels arranged in the base member(104); the layer of thermoplastic material extends across and covers the one or more openings which correspond with the at least one or more channels arranged in the base member and closes off the interior space defined by the channels (104; Col. 4, lines 52-56).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the base member of the oral appliance of Kittelsen ('710) with thermoplastic material defining one or more openings which correspond with at least one or more of the open channels arranged in the base member; the layer of thermoplastic material extends across and

covers the one or more openings which correspond with the at least one or more channels arranged in the base member and closes off the interior space defined by the channels taught by Kittelsen ('301). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to integrate the covered openings which correspond to channels arranged in the base member shown in Kittelsen ('301) into the mouthpiece encapsulated by thermoplastic material shown in Kittelsen ('710) by known methods to achieve the predictable results of closing off the interior space defined by the channel to create a shock absorption means in the terminal ends of the mouthpiece.

**Regarding claim 30**, Kittelsen ('710) discloses the claimed invention except for the brace comprising rubber.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use rubber for the brace, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

5. **Claims 9, 12, 46,47,48,49,50** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kittelsen et al. (US 6,691,710)** in view of **Kittelsen et al. (US 5,152,301)** as applied to claims above, and further in view of **Adell (US 4,955,393)**.

**Regarding claim 9**, Kittelsen's ('710) invention as modified by Kittelesen ('310) discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for at least one frontal open channel arranged in a front section of the base member.

However Addell teaches a mouthguard that fully conforms to the actual impressions of the upper and lower arches (col. 1, lines 7-14) comprising at least one frontal open channel referred to as ducts (38) arranged in a front section of the base member.

Given the teachings of Addell, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the encapsulation material which comprises the base of Kittelsen with at least one frontal open channel arranged in a front section of the base member. Doing so would provide a shock absorbing means in the front section of the base member as well as enhance the ability of the base member to conform various sized mouths of different users.

**Regarding claim 12,** Kittelsen discloses the claimed invention except for the frontal open channel of the base member has a length lying in the range 2-10mm.

. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to size the frontal open channel of the base member to a length lying in the range 2-10mm since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Also the invention is intended to be worn in the mouth of a human. Therefore, the dimensions of the mouthpiece are limited by the average size of the mouth of humans in order to make mouthpiece usage possible.

**Regarding claim 46,** Kittelsen's (710) invention as modified by Kittelsen ('310) discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for a front opening defined in the outer flange of the front region.

However Addell teaches a front opening defined in the outer flange of the front region.

Given the teachings of Addell, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the mouthpiece of Kittelsen with a front opening defined in the outer flange of the front region.

Doing so would provide a shock absorbing means in the front section of the base member in addition to shock absorbing means at the terminal ends by the molars of the user. The front opening is simply a duplication and re-positioning of the side channels to provide shock absorbing capabilities at the front of the base member.

**Regarding claim 47**, Kittelsen ('710) discloses the guard defines only an upper said channel to fit over the upper arch of the use (278).

**Regarding claim 48**, Kittelsen ('710) discloses the outer flange includes a downward extension or skirt that extends down from the web in a direction away from the upper channel and the side openings are defined in the outer flange in the flange or skirt below the web (72, 172).

**Regarding claim 49 & 50**, Kittelsen's (710) invention as modified by Kittelesen ('310) discloses all of the claimed limitations from above except for the front opening is also defined in the outer flange below the web.

However Addell teaches the front opening is also defined in the outer flange below the web.

Given the teachings of Addell, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the mouthpiece of Kittelsen with the front opening is defined in the outer flange below the web.

Doing so would provide a shock absorbing means in the front section of the base member in addition to shock absorbing means at the terminal ends by the molars of the user. The front opening is simply a duplication and re-positioning of the side channels to provide shock absorbing capabilities at the front of the base member.

6. **Claims 11, 18, 22-24** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Kittelsen et al. (US 6,691,710)**.

**Regarding claim 11**, Kittelsen discloses the claimed invention except for base member have a length lying in the range 10-20mm.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to size the base member to a length lying in the range of 10-20mm since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Also the invention is intended to be worn in the mouth of a human. Therefore, the dimensions of the mouthpiece are limited by the average size of the mouth of humans in order to make mouthpiece usage possible.

**Regarding claim 18**, Kittelsen discloses the layer of thermoplastic material but fails to disclose the teeth engaging elements has a thickness of 1mm-3mm.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to size the thermoplastic layer to a thickness of 1mm-3mm since such a modification would involve utilization of a reasonably thick coating layer. This design choice is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Also this layer thickness allows the thermoplastic layer to engage the teeth of the user.

**Regarding claims 22-24**, Kittelsen discloses the claimed invention except for the other plastics material is a thermoplastic material and the thermoplastic material is 10% or less by weight of the base member; the base member comprises 3- 8% by weight of thermoplastic material that is EVA and the balance is polyethylene; the base member comprises 4- 6% by weight of thermoplastic material that is EVA and the balance is polyethylene. In view of Kittelsen (Col. 5 line 65—Col. 6 line 54) it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize these mixture ratios, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.

### ***Conclusion***

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Robinson whose telephone number is (571) 270-3867. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571)272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James M. Robinson/

Application/Control Number: 10/560,441  
Art Unit: 3772

Page 16

/Patricia Bianco/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772

Application/Control Number: 10/560,441  
Art Unit: 3772

Page 17